

SCOTT'S FORMULA AND HURWITZ GROUPS

M.A. PELLEGRINI AND M.C. TAMBURINI BELLANI

ABSTRACT. This paper continues previous work, based on systematic use of a formula of L. Scott, to detect Hurwitz groups. It closes the problem of determining the finite simple groups contained in $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ for $n \leq 7$ which are Hurwitz, where $\mathbb{F}$ is an algebraically closed field. For the groups $G_2(q)$, $q \geq 5$, and the Janko groups J_1 and J_2 it provides explicit $(2, 3, 7)$ -generators.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an algebraically closed field of any characteristic. Consider a finitely generated group H and a representation $\Phi : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$, with representation space $V \cong \mathbb{F}^m$. For any subset A of H define:

$$\begin{aligned} d_V^A &= \dim\{v \in V \mid \Phi(a)v = v, \text{ for all } a \in A\}, \\ \hat{d}_V^A &= \dim\{v \in V \mid \Phi(a)^T v = v, \text{ for all } a \in A\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $A = \{a\}$, set $d_V^A = d_V^a$. By a special case of the well known formula of L. Scott [17] (see also [18]), if $H = \langle x, y \rangle$, then

$$(1) \quad d_V^x + d_V^y + d_V^{xy} \leq m + d_V^H + \hat{d}_V^H.$$

This formula has a crucial impact on Hurwitz generation in low rank, as already suggested by Scott himself, and made more evident by the work of A. Zalesski [7, 24] and others after him.

To illustrate this claim, it is convenient to introduce some terminology. A triple (x, y, xy) in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})^3$ is called *irreducible* whenever $\langle x, y \rangle$ is an irreducible subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$. It is called *rigid* when it is irreducible and equality holds in (1), with respect to the conjugation action Φ of $H = \langle x, y \rangle$ on $V = \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$. Finally (x, y, xy) is said to be a $(2, 3, 7)$ -triple whenever the projective images of x, y, xy have respective orders 2, 3, 7. Clearly all these properties are preserved by conjugation.

In [19] were classified (up to multiplication by scalars) the admissible similarity invariants, equivalently the admissible Jordan canonical forms (e.g., see [9, 3.10]), of x, y, xy in an irreducible $(2, 3, 7)$ -triple $(x, y, xy) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})^3$, $n \leq 7$. It turns out that such triples are all rigid if and only if $n \leq 5$. For $n = 6, 7$, the similarity invariants of the non-rigid ones (parametrized in [25] and [20]) are respectively:

$$(2) \quad t^2 + 1, t^2 + 1, t^2 + 1; \quad t^3 - 1, t^3 - 1; \quad t^6 + t^5 + t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1,$$

for $n = 6$ (see [19, 1.1.1 page 349 and 2.1.1 page 350]), and

$$(3) \quad t + 1, t^2 - 1, t^2 - 1, t^2 - 1; \quad t - 1, t^3 - 1, t^3 - 1; \quad t^7 - 1,$$

for $n = 7$ (see [19, 1.1 page 351]).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20G40, 20F05.

Key words and phrases. Hurwitz generations; trilinear forms; $G_2(q)$.

An irreducible triple of type (2) generates a symplectic group. The irreducibility of a triple of type (3) forces $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \neq 2$ and the corresponding group is orthogonal. The proof of these facts uses techniques based on Scott's formula (see Lemma 2.1).

In this paper we continue the analysis started in [19] and [20] with respect to the non-rigid triples. In particular we prove the following two results:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $\mathbb{F}$ is an algebraically closed field. Let H_7 be an irreducible subgroup of $\text{SL}_7(\mathbb{F})$ generated by a non-rigid $(2, 3, 7)$ -triple, i.e. a triple with similarity invariants (3). Then $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \neq 2$ and H_7 is a subgroup of $G_2(\mathbb{F})$.*

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that $\mathbb{F}$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2. Let H_6 be an irreducible subgroup of $\text{SL}_6(\mathbb{F})$ generated by a non-rigid $(2, 3, 7)$ -triple, i.e., a triple with similarity invariants (2). Then H_6 is a subgroup of $G_2(\mathbb{F})$.*

These two theorems allow to complete the classification of the finite simple groups, admitting absolutely irreducible projective representations of degree $n \leq 7$, which are Hurwitz. Among such groups, those which are generated by rigid triples, in particular those which admit irreducible projective representations of degree $n \leq 5$, have already been classified (see [19]). Thus we are left with those generated by irreducible non-rigid triples. For $n = 6$ and $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \neq 2$, by Lemma 2.1(i) they are contained in $\text{Sp}_6(q)$, q odd, where q stands for the order of a finite field. Otherwise, they are contained in $G_2(q)$ by Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Thus the classification can be completed analyzing $\text{Sp}_6(q)$, $G_2(q)$ and their subgroups.

The group $\text{PSP}_6(q)$ is not Hurwitz for $q = 3$ and for q even (see [24]; for q even this fact also follows from Theorem 1.2). Hurwitz generators of $\text{PSP}_6(q)$, $q \geq 5$ odd, are given in [22]. On the other hand, by an old, non-constructive result of G. Malle, $G_2(q)$ itself is a Hurwitz group if, and only if, $q \geq 5$. Explicit $(2, 3, 7)$ -generators for the Ree groups ${}^2G_2(3^{2a+1})$ can be found in [23].

For the reader's convenience we state the full classification. Given an integer u and a prime p , coprime to u , we denote by $\text{o}_u(p)$ the order of $p \pmod{u}$, i.e. the order of $p + u\mathbb{Z}$ seen as an element of the group $(\mathbb{Z}/u\mathbb{Z})^*$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a finite simple group admitting an absolutely irreducible projective representation of degree $n \leq 7$. Then G is Hurwitz if, and only if, it is isomorphic to one of the following:*

- (i) $\text{PSL}_2(p)$ when $p \equiv 0, \pm 1 \pmod{7}$, $\text{PSL}_2(p^3)$ when $p \equiv \pm 2, \pm 3 \pmod{7}$ [13];
- (ii) $\text{PSL}_5(p^{n_5})$ when $p \neq 5, 7$ and n_5 is odd, $\text{PSU}_5(7^4)$ and $\text{PSU}_5(p^{n_5})$ when $p \neq 5, 7$ and n_5 is even, where n_5 is $\text{o}_5(p) \cdot \text{o}_7(p^2)$ [21];
- (iii) $\text{PSL}_6(p^{n_6})$ when $p \neq 3$ and n_6 is odd, $\text{PSU}_6(p^{n_6})$ when $p \neq 3$ and n_6 is even, where $n_6 = \text{o}_9(p)$ [19];
- (iv) $\text{PSL}_7(p^{n_7})$ when $p \neq 7$ and n_7 is odd, $\text{PSU}_7(p^{n_7})$ when $p \neq 7$ and n_7 is even, where $n_7 = \text{o}_{49}(p)$ [19];
- (v) $\text{PSP}_6(q)$ when $q \geq 5$ is odd [22];
- (vi) $G_2(q)$ when $q \geq 5$ and ${}^2G_2(3^{2a+1})$ for all $a \geq 1$ [14];
- (vii) J_1 [16], J_2 [8].

The groups in items (i)-(iv) of previous theorem are all generated by rigid triples. Clearly rigidity restricts the isomorphism types: at most one for fixed p and n . For an interesting discussion of this aspect we refer to [15].

In the last Section we provide explicit Hurwitz generators of $G_2(q)$, $q \geq 5$, and of the Janko groups J_1 and J_2 , respectively over $\mathbb{F}_{11}$ and $\mathbb{F}_4$.

Acknowledgments. We are indebted to Maxim Vsemirnov for useful suggestions and discussions.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Let $(x_n, y_n, x_n y_n)$ be an irreducible triple in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})^3$, where $\mathbb{F}$ is an algebraically closed field, and set $H_n = \langle x_n, y_n \rangle$. We consider the diagonal action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ on the space $\mathbb{F}^n \otimes \mathbb{F}^n$, identified with $\mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$, namely the action $B \mapsto gBg^T$ for all $B \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$, $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$. The symmetric square $S = S(\mathbb{F}^n)$ and the exterior square power $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda^2(\mathbb{F}^n)$ can be identified, respectively, with the spaces of symmetric matrices and antisymmetric matrices with zero-diagonal. The spaces S and Λ^2 , being $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ -invariant, give rise to representations of H_n on $\mathbb{F}$ of respective degrees $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ and $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. By [19, Lemma 1(i)], in the first representation one has

$$(4) \quad \hat{d}_S^{H_n} \leq d_S^{H_n} \leq 1.$$

Here the notation is the same defined at the beginning of the Introduction.

Lemma 2.1. *In the above notation, the following facts hold:*

- (i) *If $n = 6$ and $(x_6, y_6, x_6 y_6)$ is an irreducible triple in $\mathrm{GL}_6(\mathbb{F})^3$ with similarity invariants (2), then $H_6 = \langle x_6, y_6 \rangle$ is contained in $\mathrm{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F})$.*
- (ii) *If $n = 7$ and $(x_7, y_7, x_7 y_7)$ is an irreducible triple in $\mathrm{GL}_7(\mathbb{F})^3$ with similarity invariants (3), then $\mathrm{char} \mathbb{F} \neq 2$ and $H_7 = \langle x_7, y_7 \rangle$ is contained in $\Omega_7(\mathbb{F})$.*

Proof. (i) If $\mathrm{char} \mathbb{F} \neq 2$ we consider the action of H_6 on $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda^2(\mathbb{F}^6)$, as in [25]. Then $\mathbb{F}^6 \otimes \mathbb{F}^6 = S \oplus \Lambda^2$, whence $\hat{d}_{\Lambda^2}^{H_6} = d_{\Lambda^2}^{H_6^T}$. From $d_{\Lambda^2}^{x_6} = 9$, $d_{\Lambda^2}^{y_6} \geq 5$, $d_{\Lambda^2}^{x_6 y_6} \geq 3$ and $\dim \Lambda^2 = 15$, we get $d_{\Lambda^2}^{H_6} + \hat{d}_{\Lambda^2}^{H_6} \geq 2$. Since $d_{\Lambda^2}^{H_6} \leq 1$ and $d_{\Lambda^2}^{H_6^T} \leq 1$, by the irreducibility of H_6 , it follows $d_{\Lambda^2}^{H_6} = d_{\Lambda^2}^{H_6^T} = 1$. Hence H_6 fixes a non-zero alternating antisymmetric form J , which is non-degenerate by the irreducibility of H_6 . We conclude $H_6 \leq \mathrm{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F})$.

Now assume $\mathrm{char} \mathbb{F} = 2$. Then $d_S^{x_6} = 12$, $d_S^{y_6} = 7$ and $d_S^{x_6 y_6} = 3$. Since $\dim S = 21$, from (4) and Scott's formula (1) it follows either $d_S^{H_6} = \hat{d}_S^{H_6} = 1$ or $d_S^{H_6} = 1$ and $\hat{d}_S^{H_6} = 0$. In the first case $H_6 \leq \Omega_6(\mathbb{F}) \leq \mathrm{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F})$, in the second $H_6 \leq \mathrm{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F})$ by [19, Lemma 1(ii)-(iii)].

(ii) We have $d_S^{x_7} = 16$, $d_S^{y_7} = 10$, $d_S^{x_7 y_7} = 4$. Since $\dim S = 28$, from (1) we get $d_S^{H_7} + \hat{d}_S^{H_7} \geq 2$. Again [19, Lemma 1] gives $\mathrm{char} \mathbb{F} \neq 2$, $d_S^{H_7} = \hat{d}_S^{H_7} = 1$, and H_7 orthogonal. Since H_7 is $(2, 3, 7)$ -generated, it coincides with its derived subgroup and so it is contained in $\Omega_7(\mathbb{F})$. $\square$

When $\mathrm{char} \mathbb{F} = 2$, $\mathrm{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F})$ is isomorphic to the orthogonal group $\Omega_7(\mathbb{F})$, by a classical result of Dieudonné [6]. Indeed, call Q the quadratic form which defines $\Omega_7(\mathbb{F})$, call g the associated symmetric bilinear form of rank 6 and $\langle v_0 \rangle$ the radical of g . Then $\Omega_7(\mathbb{F})$ fixes $\langle v_0 \rangle$ and induces a symplectic group on $\frac{\mathbb{F}^7}{\langle v_0 \rangle}$. Since every symplectic transformation has determinant 1, $\Omega_7(\mathbb{F})$ fixes v_0 . Hence, with respect to any basis of $\mathbb{F}^7$ having v_0 as first vector, $\Omega_7(\mathbb{F})$ consists of matrices

$$(5) \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_h^T \\ 0 & h_6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_h \in \mathbb{F}^6, \quad h_6 \in \mathrm{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}).$$

The map $h \mapsto h_6$ is an isomorphism. We use its inverse $h_6 \mapsto h$. The image $(\tilde{x}_7, \tilde{y}_7, \tilde{x}_7 \tilde{y}_7)$ of $(x_6, y_6, x_6 y_6)$ in $\Omega_7(\mathbb{F})$ has similarity invariants (3). Since

the eigenspace of $\tilde{x}_7\tilde{y}_7$ relative to 1 has dimension 1, it must coincide with $\langle v_0 \rangle$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_{-3}, v_{-1}, v_{-2}, v_3\}$ be a basis of eigenvectors of $\tilde{x}_7\tilde{y}_7$, with $(\tilde{x}_7\tilde{y}_7)v_i = \varepsilon^{-i}v_i$ for $i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3$, where $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}$ is a primitive 7-th root of 1. With respect to $\mathcal{B}$ the Gram matrix J of the bilinear form g fixed by $\langle \tilde{x}_7, \tilde{y}_7 \rangle$ is $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_6 \end{pmatrix}$ with J_6 fixed by $\langle x_6, y_6 \rangle$. Multiplying v_1, v_2, v_{-3} by scalar multiples, if necessary, we have $J_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_3 \\ I_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence we may suppose:

$$(6) \quad \tilde{x}_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_x^T \\ 0 & x_6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{y}_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_x^T x_6 y_6 \\ 0 & y_6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{x}_7\tilde{y}_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_6 y_6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The conditions $\tilde{x}_7^2 = I$, $J_6 x_6 = x_6^T J_6$ and the choice of $\mathcal{B}$ give $a_x^T x_6 = a_x^T$ and

$$(7) \quad x_6 = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & A^T \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = B^T, C = C^T, \\ x_6 y_6 = \text{diag}(\varepsilon^{-1}, \varepsilon^{-2}, \varepsilon^3, \varepsilon^1, \varepsilon^2, \varepsilon^{-3}).$$

3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

The group $G_2(\mathbb{F})$ can be defined as the subgroup of $\text{GL}_7(\mathbb{F})$ fixing a particular alternating trilinear form, called the Dickson form (see [1]). For the reader's convenience, we recall some basic facts and definitions concerning alternating m -linear forms on $V = \mathbb{F}^n$ ($n \geq m$).

An m -linear map $f : V^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is said to be alternating if $f(v_1, \dots, v_m) = 0$ whenever $v_i = v_j$ for some $i \neq j$. We define

$$\wedge^m(V) = \frac{\otimes_m V}{\langle v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m : v_i = v_j \text{ for some } i \neq j \rangle}.$$

By $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_m$ we denote the image of $v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m$ under the canonical projection of $\otimes_m V$ onto $\wedge^m(V)$. The spaces $\wedge^m(V)$ and $\wedge^m(V^*)$, where V^* denotes the dual of V , are dual to each other, under the bilinear map

$$(v_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge v_m^*, u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_m) \mapsto \det(v_k^T u_j)$$

(e.g., see [12, Chapter XIX]). Hence, the space of alternating m -linear forms on V can be identified with the skew-symmetric tensor product $\wedge^m(V^*)$ acting on V^m via:

$$(8) \quad (v_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge v_m^*)(u_1, \dots, u_m) = \det(v_k^T u_j).$$

We may define a representation $\Phi : \text{GL}(V) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\wedge^m(V^*))$ by setting:

$$[\Phi(g)(v_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge v_m^)](u_1, \dots, u_m) = (v_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge v_m^*)(g^{-1}u_1, \dots, g^{-1}u_m),$$

namely

$$\Phi(g)(v_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge v_m^*) = (g^{-T}v_1)^* \wedge \dots \wedge (g^{-T}v_m)^*.$$

We claim that $\Phi(g^T) = \Phi(g)^T$ for all g . In particular, the representation $g \mapsto \Phi(g^{-T})$ is the dual of Φ . Indeed, let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\{e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*\}$ denote respective bases for V and for the dual V^* of V . Setting

$$\mathcal{B} = \{e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_m}^* \mid 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n\}$$

we obtain a basis of $\wedge^m(V^*)$. By the above

$$\Phi(g)(e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_m}^*) = (g^{-T}e_{i_1})^* \wedge \dots \wedge (g^{-T}e_{i_m})^*$$

$$= \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_m \leq n} \det \left(\gamma_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^{k_1, \dots, k_m} \right) (e_{k_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{k_m}^*),$$

where $\gamma_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^{k_1, \dots, k_m}$ is the submatrix obtained from g^{-T} considering rows $i_1, \dots, i_m$ and columns $k_1, \dots, k_m$. The same calculation leads to

$$\Phi(g^T) (e_{i_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_m}^*) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_m \leq n} \det \left(\gamma_{k_1, \dots, k_m}^{i_1, \dots, i_m} \right) (e_{k_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{k_m}^*).$$

Identifying $\Phi(g)$ and $\Phi(g^T)$ with their matrices with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ we have $\Phi(g^T) = \Phi(g)^T$, whence our claim.

When $m = 3$ and $n = 7$ we may take a basis $\{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_{-1}, v_{-2}, v_{-3}\}$ and consider the following alternating trilinear form, called a Dickson form:

$$v_0^* \wedge v_1^* \wedge v_{-1}^* + v_0^* \wedge v_2^* \wedge v_{-2}^* + v_0^* \wedge v_3^* \wedge v_{-3}^* + v_1^* \wedge v_2^* \wedge v_{-3}^* + v_{-1}^* \wedge v_{-2}^* \wedge v_3^*.$$

Our proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are based on key results of Aschbacher [1, Theorem 5]. The case $n = 7$ turns out to be much easier to handle than the case $n = 6$. For this reason we consider it firstly.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let H_7 be an irreducible subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_7(\mathbb{F})$, generated by a non rigid $(2, 3, 7)$ -triple $(x_7, y_7, x_7 y_7)$. Its similarity invariants are those in (3) by [20]. Moreover $\mathrm{char} \mathbb{F} \neq 2$ and $H_7 \leq \Omega_7(\mathbb{F})$ (see Lemma 2.1). Consider the space $V = \mathbb{F}^7$ with basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$. As done before, we may identify the space of the alternating trilinear forms defined on V with the space $\wedge^3(V^*)$ and consider the representation $\Phi : \mathrm{GL}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\wedge^3(V^*))$ previously introduced. We apply formula (1) to this action:

$$d_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{x_7} + d_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{y_7} + d_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{x_7 y_7} \leq 35 + d_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{H_7} + \hat{d}_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{H_7}.$$

Considering the canonical forms of x_7 , y_7 and $x_7 y_7$ we obtain $d_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{x_7} = 19$, $d_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{y_7} = 13$ and $d_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{x_7 y_7} = 5$, whence

$$d_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{H_7} + \hat{d}_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{H_7} \geq 2.$$

As $\Phi(h)^T = \Phi(h^T)$ for all $h \in H_7$ we obtain that $\hat{d}_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{H_7} = d_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{H_7^T}$. Further, since H_7 and H_7^T are absolutely irreducible, by [1, Theorem 5(2)],

$$0 \leq d_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{H_7} \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq d_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{H_7^T} \leq 1.$$

It follows that $d_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{H_7} = \hat{d}_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{H_7} = 1$. Thus H_7 fixes a non-zero alternating trilinear form which, by [1, Theorem 5(5)], must be a Dickson form. We conclude that $H_7 \leq G_2(\mathbb{F})$. $\square$ $\square$

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1.2 and so, from now on, we assume $\mathrm{char} \mathbb{F} = 2$ and keep notation (6). A fundamental step of the proof is that, whenever $H_6 = \langle x_6, y_6 \rangle$ is absolutely irreducible, then $H_7 = \langle \tilde{x}_7, \tilde{y}_7 \rangle$ fixes a non-zero alternating trilinear form. This will be done in Proposition 3.5, that requires some preliminary facts established in Proposition 3.1 and Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4.

Proposition 3.1. *Let K be an irreducible subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_6(\mathbb{F})$ generated by a $(2, 3, 7)$ -triple whose similarity invariants are (2). Then K does not fix any non-zero alternating trilinear form.*

Proof. By the above discussion we may suppose that K is generated by $x = x_6$, $y = y_6$ and $z = xy$ as in (7). Proceeding by way of contradiction, let f be a non-zero alternating trilinear form fixed by K . We have $f(z^{-1}v_i, z^{-1}v_j, z^{-1}v_k) = \varepsilon^{i+j+k} f(v_i, v_j, v_k)$. Since f is fixed by z we get $f(v_i, v_j, v_k) = 0$ whenever $i+j+k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$. Thus:

$$f = \lambda(v_1^* \wedge v_2^* \wedge v_{-3}^*) + \mu(v_{-1}^* \wedge v_{-2}^* \wedge v_3^*),$$

for some $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}$. Assume $\mu = 0$. In this case $\langle v_{-1}, v_{-2}, v_3 \rangle \leq \text{rad}(f)$ and so $\text{rad}(f)$ is a non-trivial subspace of $\mathbb{F}^6$ fixed by K . Since K is irreducible, we obtain $\text{rad}(f) = \mathbb{F}^6$ and so $f = 0$, a contradiction. The same holds if $\lambda = 0$. It follows that both λ and μ are non-zero and, multiplying by λ^{-1} , we may assume:

$$(9) \quad f = v_1^* \wedge v_2^* \wedge v_{-3}^* + \rho(v_{-1}^* \wedge v_{-2}^* \wedge v_3^*), \quad \rho \neq 0$$

i.e., $f(v_1, v_2, v_{-3}) = 1$, $f(v_{-1}, v_{-2}, v_3) = \rho$, $f(v_i, v_j, v_k) = 0$ otherwise. Write

$$x = (a_{i,j}), \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, -3, -1, -2, 3\}.$$

Case 1. Suppose that A in (7) is diagonal. From $f(xv_1, v_2, v_{-3}) = f(v_1, xv_2, v_{-3})$ we get $a_{1,1} = a_{2,2} \cdot a_{-3,-3}$. Similarly, from $f(v_1, xv_2, v_{-3}) = f(xv_1, v_2, xv_{-3})$ and $f(v_1, v_2, xv_{-3}) = f(xv_1, xv_2, v_{-3})$ we get, respectively, $a_{2,2} = a_{1,1} \cdot a_{-3,-3}$ and $a_{-3,-3} = a_{1,1} \cdot a_{2,2}$. It follows that either $a_{1,1} = a_{2,2} = a_{-3,-3} = 0$ or $a_{1,1} = a_{2,2} = a_{-3,-3} = 1$. In the first case $A = 0$ and it is clear from (7) that $y = x(xy)$ cannot have order 3. In the second case, $A = I$, whence the contradiction $1 = \text{Tr}(x(xy)) = \text{Tr}(y) = 0$.

Case 2. Some non-diagonal entry of A is not zero. We may suppose that it is in the first column, up to the permutation $(v_1 v_i)(v_{-1} v_{-i})$ for some $i = 2, -3$. We claim that $a_{2,1} \neq 0$ if, and only if, $a_{-3,1} \neq 0$. Indeed, suppose $a_{2,1} \neq 0$. The subspace $S_1 = \{s \in \mathbb{F}^6 \mid f(v_1, xv_1, s) = 0\}$ is x -invariant and contains $\langle v_{-1}, v_{-2}, v_3, v_1 \rangle$. If $a_{-3,1} = 0$, then $v_{-3} \notin S_1$. As $v_2 \in S_1$ the space S_1 has dimension 5 and is fixed by K , a contradiction. Thus $a_{-3,1} \neq 0$ and the same argument shows the opposite implication. Conjugating x and z by $\text{diag}(a_{2,1}^{-1} \cdot a_{-3,1}^{-1}, a_{2,1}, a_{-3,1}, a_{2,1} \cdot a_{-3,1}, a_{2,1}^{-1}, a_{-3,1}^{-1})$ their shapes are preserved as well as the condition $f(v_1, v_2, v_{-3}) = 1$. Hence we may suppose $a_{2,1} = a_{-3,1} = 1$ (and $a_{-1,-2} = a_{-1,3} = 1$ by (7)).

For $j \in \{-1, -2, 3\}$ we obtain:

$$0 = f(xv_1, v_1, v_j) = f(v_1, xv_1, xv_j) = a_{-3,j} + a_{2,j},$$

whence $a_{-3,-1} = a_{2,-1}$, $a_{-3,-2} = a_{2,-2}$ and $a_{-3,3} = a_{2,3}$. After these substitutions, for $j \in \{1, 2, -3\}$ we get

$$\rho a_{-1,j} = f(xv_j, v_{-2}, v_3) = f(v_j, xv_{-2}, xv_3) = 0,$$

whence $a_{-1,1} = a_{-1,2} = a_{-1,-3} = 0$ (and $a_{-2,1} = a_{3,1} = 0$). Now $f(xv_3, v_{-2}, v_j) = f(v_3, xv_{-2}, xv_j)$ for $j = 2, -3$ gives $\rho a_{-2,j} = 0$, i.e., $a_{-2,2} = a_{-2,-3} = a_{3,2} = 0$. Finally $f(xv_{-2}, v_3, v_{-3}) = f(v_{-2}, xv_3, xv_{-3})$ gives $a_{3,-3} = 0$.

We conclude that $\langle v_1, v_2, v_{-3} \rangle$ is an x -invariant subspace, hence a K -invariant subspace, a contradiction. $\square$

Remark 3.2. In characteristic 2, a perfect irreducible subgroup of $\text{SL}_6(\mathbb{F})$ having an involution x with similarity invariants $t^2 + 1$, $t^2 + 1$, $t^2 + 1$, cannot be contained in $\text{SO}_6(\mathbb{F})' = \Omega_6(\mathbb{F})$. Indeed the dimension of the fixed points space of x is 3,

whence the quasideterminant of x is, by definition, $(-1)^3 = -1$. On the contrary, the quasideterminant of an involution in $\Omega_6(\mathbb{F})$ is 1 (see [4, p. xii]).

Lemma 3.3. *If H_6 is irreducible, $\langle v_0 \rangle$ has no H_7 -invariant complement.*

Proof. Any complement should coincide with the space $W = \langle v_1, \dots, v_3 \rangle$ generated by the eigenvectors of $\tilde{x}_7 \tilde{y}_7$ relative to the eigenvalues $\neq 1$. This happens only if $a_x^T = 0$ in (6). In this case H_6 preserves the restriction $\bar{Q} = Q|_W$, where Q is the quadratic form fixed by H_7 . The symmetric form associated to $\bar{Q}$ has Gram matrix J_6 , as above, which is non-degenerate. Hence $\bar{Q}$ is a quadratic form. This implies that H_6 is contained in $\Omega_6(\mathbb{F})$, in contrast with Remark 3.2. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *If H_6 is irreducible, then $\langle v_0 \rangle$ is the only proper H_7 -invariant subspace of $\mathbb{F}^7$. Similarly $W = \langle v_1, v_2, v_{-3}, v_{-1}, v_{-2}, v_3 \rangle$ is the only proper H_7^T -invariant subspace of $\mathbb{F}^7$.*

Proof. Let U be a proper H_7 -invariant subspace. By the irreducibility of H_6 we have either $U \cap W = 0$ or $U \cap W = U$. In the first case U has dimension 1. Hence the perfect group H_7 induces the identity on U . This gives $U = \langle v_0 \rangle$, the eigenspace of $\tilde{x}_7 \tilde{y}_7$ relative to 1. The second case cannot arise, since U would be an H_7 -invariant complement of $\langle v_0 \rangle$, in contrast with the previous Lemma. The second part of the statement follows noting that $\langle v_0^T \rangle$ is the eigenspace of $(\tilde{x}_7 \tilde{y}_7)^T$ relative to 1 and using the fact that H_6^T is not orthogonal by Remark 3.2. $\square$

We are now ready to prove the following result on which Theorem 1.2 is based.

Proposition 3.5. *If H_6 is irreducible, then the group H_7 fixes a non-zero alternating trilinear form on V .*

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we take the action of H_7 on the space of trilinear forms, that we identify with $\Lambda^3(V^*)$, obtaining

$$d_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{H_7} + \hat{d}_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{H_7} = d_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{H_7} + d_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{H_7^T} \geq 2.$$

It suffices to show that $d_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{H_7^T} \leq 1$. So let $\tilde{f}$ be a non-zero alternating trilinear form on V fixed by H_7^T . Denote by W the 6-dimensional subspace of V fixed by H_7^T . The restriction $\tilde{f}|_W$ of $\tilde{f}$ to W is H_6^T -invariant and so it must be the zero form, by Proposition 3.1. Furthermore, the kernel of the function $\Psi : V \rightarrow \Lambda^2(V^*)$, defined as $\Psi(v) = \tilde{f}(v, *, *)$, is a H_7^T -invariant subspace of V . By Lemma 3.4 either $\ker(\Psi) = W$, or $\ker(\Psi) = 0$. If $\ker(\Psi) = W$, then $\tilde{f}$ is the zero form. It follows that Ψ is injective. Set $z = \tilde{x}_7 \tilde{y}_7$ and take $\mathcal{B}$ as above. We have $z = z^T$ and

$$\tilde{f}(z^{-1}v_i, z^{-1}v_j, z^{-1}v_k) = \varepsilon^{i+j+k} \tilde{f}(v_i, v_j, v_k) = \tilde{f}(v_i, v_j, v_k),$$

whence either $i + j + k = 0$ or $\tilde{f}(v_i, v_j, v_k) = 0$. It follows $\tilde{f}(v_i, v_j, v_k) = 0$ except, possibly, for $(i, j, k) \in \{(0, \ell, -\ell), (1, 2, -3), (-1, -2, 3) \mid \ell = 1, 2, 3\}$. By the assumption that $\tilde{f}|_W$ is the zero-form, we have $\tilde{f}(v_{\pm 1}, v_{\pm 2}, v_{\mp 3}) = 0$. If $\tilde{f}(v_0, v_i, v_{-i}) = 0$, then $\tilde{f}(v_i, *, *)$ is the zero form, in contrast with the injectivity of Ψ . So, $\tilde{f}(v_0, v_i, v_{-i}) \neq 0$ for all i 's. Substituting each v_i with a scalar multiple, if necessary, we get $\tilde{f} = \sum_{i=1}^3 (v_0^* \wedge v_i^* \wedge v_{-i}^*)$. We conclude that $d_{\Lambda^3(V^*)}^{H_7^T} = 1$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 2$ and let H_6 be an irreducible subgroup of $\text{SL}_6(\mathbb{F})$ generated by a non-rigid $(2, 3, 7)$ -triple $(x_6, y_6, x_6 y_6)$. Its similarity invariants are those in (2) by [20]. Setting $K = H_6^T$ in Proposition 3.1, we obtain that the transpose H_6^T of H_6 does not fix non-zero alternating trilinear forms. Hence, Proposition 3.5 implies that $H_7 = \langle \tilde{x}_7, \tilde{y}_7 \rangle$, in the notation (6), fixes a non-zero alternating trilinear form f on $V = \mathbb{F}^7$. We want to show that f is similar to the Dickson form, applying [1, Theorem 5(5)]. Its hypothesis require that H_7 acts indecomposably on $V = \mathbb{F}^7$, which is true by Lemma 3.3, and that $\text{rad}(B_{v_0}) = \langle v_0 \rangle$, where B_{v_0} is the symmetric form defined as $B_{v_0}(u, w) = f(v_0, u, w)$. It remains to show this fact. Now, the radical of B_{v_0} is an H_7 -invariant subspace of V . Thus, by Lemma 3.4, either $\text{rad}(B_{v_0}) = \langle v_0 \rangle$ or $\text{rad}(B_{v_0}) = V$. Suppose the latter case holds, i.e. B_{v_0} is the zero form.

Take $\bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w} \in \bar{V} = V/\langle v_0 \rangle$ and define

$$\bar{f}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w}) = f(u, v, w),$$

where $u = \eta v_0 + \bar{u}$, $v = \lambda v_0 + \bar{v}$ and $w = \mu v_0 + \bar{w}$. The function $\bar{f}$ is well-defined as

$$f(u, v, w) = f(\eta v_0 + \bar{u}, \lambda v_0 + \bar{v}, \mu v_0 + \bar{w}) = f(\bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w}).$$

For every element of $h \in H_7$, $\bar{f}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w}) = f(u, v, w) = f(h_6^{-1}\bar{u}, h_6^{-1}\bar{v}, h_6^{-1}\bar{w})$ and so H_6 fixes a non-zero alternating trilinear form $\bar{f}$ on $\bar{V}$, in contrast with Proposition 3.1 (this time taking $K = H_6$). We conclude that H_7 fixes a Dickson form by [1, Theorem 5(5)], hence it is a subgroup of $G_2(\mathbb{F})$. $\square$ $\square$

4. HURWITZ GENERATORS FOR $G_2(q)$

In this section we set $q = p^a$, where p is a prime, and consider $\mathbb{F}$ as the algebraic closure of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Our aim is to find explicit Hurwitz generators for the groups $G_2(q)$ when they exist, namely for $q \geq 5$ [14].

We first consider the case where q is even. So let $p = 2$ and $q \geq 8$. Our generators x_6, y_6 and their product $x_6 y_6$ have similarity invariants (2), hence are conjugate to the matrices in (7), even if they have different shapes. Indeed they are obtained from family (IIa) in [25] for special values of the parameters. The choice of this family was made for uniformity with the Hurwitz generators of $\text{PSP}_6(q)$, $5 \leq q$ odd, used in [22]. Indeed, it turns out that matrices of the same shape generate $\text{PSP}_6(q)$ for q odd and its subgroup $G_2(q)$ for q even.

For each $r \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathbb{F}_4$ we set

$$(10) \quad a = \frac{r+1}{d}, \quad b = \frac{r^3+r^2+1}{d}, \quad c = \frac{r^3+1}{d}, \quad d = r^2+r+1,$$

$$(11) \quad x_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & r & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & a \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & r \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & b & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and define $H = \langle x_6, y_6 \rangle$.

Lemma 4.1. *The group H is absolutely irreducible, except when:*

$$r^{12} + r^9 + r^5 + r^2 + 1 = 0.$$

In particular, if H is absolutely irreducible, then it is a subgroup of $G_2(q)$.

Proof. For the absolute irreducibility of H apply [22, Lemma 2.1] to the case $8 \leq q$ even, $r \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathbb{F}_4$. For the second claim of the statement, apply Theorem 1.2. $\square$ $\square$

Now we want to exclude that H is contained in a maximal subgroup of $G_2(q)$. By [5], the absolutely irreducible maximal subgroups M of $G_2(q)$ are of type:

$$M \cong \mathrm{SL}_3(q).2, \quad M \cong \mathrm{SU}_3(q^2).2, \quad M \cong G_2(q_0) \quad (\mathbb{F}_{q_0} < \mathbb{F}_q).$$

Lemma 4.2. *If H is absolutely irreducible, it is not contained in $\mathrm{SL}_3(q)$ or $\mathrm{SU}_3(q^2)$.*

Proof. If our claim is false, H arises from an action of $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{F})$ on the symmetric square $S(\mathbb{F}^6)$ (see [11, 5.4.11]). But, in this action, an involution has similarity invariants $t+1, t+1, t^2+1, t^2+1$, different from those of x_6 . $\square$ $\square$

Remark 4.3. The *field of definition* of a subgroup H of $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$ is the smallest subfield $\mathbb{F}_{q_1}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that a conjugate of H , under $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$, is contained in $\mathrm{GL}_n(q_1)(\mathbb{F}^*I)$, where $\mathbb{F}^*I$ denotes the center of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$. This is to ensure that no conjugate of the projective image of H lies in $\mathrm{PGL}_n(q_0)$ for some $q_0 < q_1$. Clearly, when $H = H'$ is perfect, $\mathbb{F}_{q_1}$ coincides with the smallest subfield of $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that a conjugate of H is contained in $\mathrm{SL}_n(q_1)$, the derived subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(q_1)(\mathbb{F}^*I)$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let x_6, y_6 be as in (11) with $r \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathbb{F}_4$, $8 \leq q$ even, such that:*

- (i) $r^{12} + r^9 + r^5 + r^2 + 1 \neq 0$;
- (ii) $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_2[r^2 + r]$.

Then $H = \langle x_6, y_6 \rangle = G_2(q)$ and there exists $r \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathbb{F}_4$ satisfying (i) and (ii).

Proof. Condition (i) implies that H is absolutely irreducible (Lemma 4.1) and by the same lemma we have that $H \leq G_2(q)$. Let M be a maximal subgroup of $G_2(q)$ which contains H . Since H is a Hurwitz group, it is perfect and so it is contained in the derived subgroup M' of M . By [22, Lemma 3.2], the minimal field of definition of H is $\mathbb{F}_2[r^2 + r]$. Thus Condition (ii) gives that $M' \neq G_2(q_0)$ for any q_0 such that $\mathbb{F}_{q_0}$ is a proper subfield of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Moreover $M' \notin \{\mathrm{SL}_3(q), \mathrm{SU}_3(q^2)\}$ by Lemma 4.2. It follows that $H = G_2(q)$. We now prove that, for $q > 4$, there exists $r \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathbb{F}_4$, where $q = 2^a$, satisfying Conditions (i) and (ii). For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $\mathbb{F}_2[\alpha] \neq \mathbb{F}_q$ there are at most two values of r such that $r^2 + r = \alpha$. Let $N(a)$ be the number of elements $r \in \mathbb{F}_{2^a}$ such that $\mathbb{F}_2[r^2 + r] \neq \mathbb{F}_{2^a}$. Considering the possible subfields of $\mathbb{F}_{2^a}$, we get

$$N(a) \leq 2 \left(2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + \dots + 2^{\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor} \right) = 2^{2 + \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor} - 4.$$

Next, observe that if $a \geq 5$, then $(2^{2 + \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor} - 4) + 12 < 2^a$. It follows that for $a \geq 5$, there exists $r \in \mathbb{F}_{2^a} \setminus \mathbb{F}_4$ satisfying Conditions (i) and (ii). Finally for $q = 8, 16$, it suffices to take as r a generator of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. $\square$ $\square$

The following Remark gives Hurwitz generators of the Janko group J_2 over $\mathbb{F}_4$.

Remark 4.5. For $q = 4$, consider the matrices (belonging to family (IIa) of [25])

$$(12) \quad x_{J_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \omega^2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \omega^2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y_{J_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega^2 & \omega^2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$. By a Magma calculation the group $H = \langle x_{J_2}, y_{J_2} \rangle$ as in (12) is isomorphic to J_2 .

Now, we consider the case $q \geq 5$ odd. In this case our generators have similarity invariants (3) hence are conjugate to the matrices in (6), even if they have different shapes. Indeed we take them from family (II) in [20].

For each $r \in \mathbb{F}_q$ define $H = \langle x_7, y_7 \rangle$, where

$$(13) \quad x_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & r \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -3 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & r+2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2r+8 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 4.6. *The group H is absolutely irreducible, except when:*

$$d = r^2 + 15r + 100 = 0.$$

In particular, if H is absolutely irreducible, then it is a subgroup of $G_2(q)$.

Proof. For the absolute irreducibility of H see the proof of [20, Theorem 1, page 2131]. For the second claim of the statement, apply Theorem 1.1. $\square$ $\square$

We notice that $[x_7, y_7] = x_7 y_7^{-1} x_7 y_7$ has trace 3 and characteristic polynomial

$$(14) \quad \chi_{[x_7, y_7]}(t) = t^7 - 3t^6 - (d-5)t^5 - (d+7)t^4 + (d+7)t^3 + (d-5)t^2 + 3t - 1.$$

Again we want to exclude that H is contained in a maximal subgroup of $G_2(q)$. For q odd, the classification is due to P. Kleidman in [10]. We summarize in Table 1 the relevant information of [3, Tables 8.41, 8.42 pages 397–398].

Subgroup	Conditions
$G_2(q_0)$	$\mathbb{F}_{q_0}$ is a subfield of $\mathbb{F}_q$
$2^3 \text{SL}_3(2)$	$q = p$
$\text{PSL}_2(13)$	$q = p \equiv \pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 4 \pmod{13}$ or $q = p^2, p \equiv \pm 2, \pm 5, \pm 6 \pmod{13}$
$\text{PSL}_2(8)$	$q = p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{9}$ or $q = p^3, p \equiv \pm 2, \pm 4 \pmod{9}$
$G_2(2) = U_3(3) : 2$	$q = p \geq 5$
J_1	$q = 11$
$\text{PGL}_2(q)$	$p \geq 7, q \geq 11$
$\text{SL}_3(q) : 2$	$p = 3$
$\text{SU}_3(q^2) : 2$	$p = 3$
${}^2G_2(3^a)$	$p = 3, a$ odd

TABLE 1. Maximal irreducible subgroups of $G_2(q)$, $q = p^a$, $p \neq 2$.

Since H is a Hurwitz group, it is perfect. So if H is contained in a maximal subgroup M then $H \leq M'$.

Lemma 4.7. *Assume $5 \leq q$ odd, H absolutely irreducible. Then:*

- (i) *H is never contained in any maximal subgroup M isomorphic to one of the following: $\text{PSL}_2(13)$, $G_2(2)$, $2^3 \text{PSL}_3(2)$, J_1 ;*

- (ii) H is contained in a maximal subgroup $M \cong \text{PSL}_2(8)$ iff $q = 17$ and $r = 1$.

Proof. We proceed with a case by case analysis. If $H \leq M$, then $(x_7, y_7, x_7 y_7)$ must coincide with some $(2, 3, 7)$ -triple $(g_2, g_3, g_2 g_3)$ in M . Since the commutator $[x_7, y_7]$ has trace 3, we are interested in those triples such that $3 = \text{Tr}([g_2, g_3])$. The possible values of these traces are read from the ordinary and modular character tables of M .

- (a) Assume $H \leq M \cong \text{PSL}_2(13)$, with the restrictions on q given in Table 1: in particular $p \neq 3$. In this case the order of $[g_2, g_3]$ is either 6 or 7 or 13 with trace 1, 0 or $(1 \pm \sqrt{13})/2$, respectively. Equating these values to 3 we get either $p = 2$ or $p = 3 = q$, in contrast with our assumptions.
- (b) Assume $H \leq M \cong \text{PSL}_2(8)$ with the restrictions on q given in Table 1. In this case $[g_2, g_3]$ has order 9 and its trace is a root of $t^3 - 3t - 1$. Equating its trace to 3, we get $3^3 - 9 - 1 = 17 = 0$, whence $p = 17 = q$. On the other hand, for $q = 17$, the element $[x_7, y_7]$ has order 9 only when $r = 1$. In this case $H \cong \text{PSL}_2(8)$.
- (c) Assume $H \leq M \cong G_2(2)$, $q = p \geq 5$. Since H is perfect, we have that H is actually contained in $M' = \text{SU}_3(3)$. In this case $[g_2, g_3]$ has order 4. Taking $D = [x_7, y_7]^4$, we have $D_{7,7} = 5r - 7$. If $p = 5$, then $D_{7,7} = 3 \neq 1$. If $p \neq 5$, set $r = \frac{8}{5}$. Then $D_{2,7} = -\frac{36}{5} \neq 0$, since $p \geq 7$.
- (d) Assume $H \leq M \cong 2^3 \cdot \text{PSL}_3(2)$ with $q = p \geq 5$. If $p \neq 7$, then the trace of $[g_2, g_3]$ is in $\{0, \pm 1\}$. As in (a) above we get either $p = 2$ or $p = 3 = q$, against our assumptions. If $q = p = 7$, then $[x_7, y_7]$ has order ≥ 19 , so it is cannot be an element of $2^3 \cdot \text{PSL}_3(2)$.
- (e) Assume $M \cong J_1$ with $q = 11$. The element $[g_2, g_3]$ has order 10, 11, 15 or 19 with respective traces 9, 7, 5 and 4. Since $\text{Tr}([x_7, y_7]) = 3$ we have a contradiction.

□

□

Lemma 4.8. *If $p = 3$ and H is absolutely irreducible, then it is not contained in $\text{SL}_3(q)$ or $\text{SU}_3(q^2)$.*

Proof. The Hurwitz subgroups of $\text{SL}_3(q^2)$ are isomorphic to $\text{PSL}_2(7)$ or to $\text{PSL}_2(27)$. However $\text{PSL}_2(27)$ does not have irreducible representations of degree 7. If H is contained in $\text{PSL}_2(7)$, then $[x_7, y_7]$ has order 4, whence $\text{Tr}([x_7, y_7]) = -1$, a contradiction. □

Lemma 4.9. *Assume H absolutely irreducible. Then two triples $(x_7(r_1), y_7(r_1), z_7(r_1))$ and $(x_7(r_2), y_7(r_2), z_7(r_2))$ are conjugate if, and only if, $r_1 = r_2$.*

Proof. Assume that $(x_7(r_1), y_7(r_1), z_7(r_1))$ and $(x_7(r_2), y_7(r_2), z_7(r_2))$ are conjugate. Then the characteristic polynomial of $[x_7(r_1), y_7(r_1)]$ and $[x_7(r_2), y_7(r_2)]$ are the same, whence $r_1^2 + 15r_1 = r_2^2 + 15r_2$. Suppose that $r_1 \neq r_2$. Then $r_1 = -15 - r_2$. Now, consider the element $w = [x_7, y_7]^2 y_7 (x_7 y_7)^2$ whose characteristic polynomial is

$$\chi_w(t) = t^7 + f_1(r)t^6 + f_2(r)t^5 - f_3(r)t^4 + f_3(r)t^3 - f_2(r)t^2 - f_1(r)t - 1$$

where $f_1(r) = r^3 + 25r^2 + 250r + 999$. From $f_1(r_1) = f_1(r_2)$ we get $d(2r_2 + 15) = 0$. Since H is absolutely irreducible, then $d \neq 0$ and so $r_2 = -\frac{15}{2}$. However, in this case $r_1 = -15 - r_2 = -\frac{15}{2} = r_2$, a contradiction. We conclude that $r_1 = r_2$. □ □

As mentioned in the Introduction, the group $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$ is Hurwitz when either $q = p \equiv 0, \pm 1 \pmod{7}$ or $q = p^3$ and $p \equiv \pm 2, \pm 3 \pmod{7}$. Moreover, in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)) = \mathrm{P}\Gamma\mathrm{L}_2(q)$, there are 3 conjugacy classes of Hurwitz triples for $q = p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{7}$, only one otherwise.

Theorem 4.10. *Let $q = p^a$, p odd, $q \geq 5$, and let x_7, y_7 be as in (13) with $r \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $r \neq 1$ if $q = 17$ and $r^2 + 15r + 100 \neq 0$. Assume further that $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_p[r]$. Then one of the following holds:*

- (i) $H = G_2(q)$;
- (ii) $H = \mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$ with $q = p$ if $p \equiv 0, \pm 1 \pmod{7}$, $q = p^3$ if $p \equiv \pm 2, \pm 3 \pmod{7}$.

Moreover, in case (ii) it is always possible to choose r such that $H = G_2(q)$.

Proof. The group H is absolutely irreducible by Lemma 4.6 and the assumption $r^2 + 15r + 100 \neq 0$ and so it is a subgroup of $G_2(q)$. Suppose that there exists a maximal subgroup M of $G_2(q)$ containing H . Condition $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_p[r]$ implies that $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the field of definition of H (see [20, Remark 6]). Thus $M \neq G_2(q_0)$ for every $q_0 < q$. By Lemma 4.7 we may also exclude $M' \in \{\mathrm{PSL}_2(13), \mathrm{PSL}_2(8), G_2(2), 2^3 \cdot \mathrm{SL}_3(2)\}$. When $q = 3^a$, we may also exclude $M' \in \{\mathrm{SL}_3(q), \mathrm{SU}_3(q^2)\}$ by Lemma 4.8. If a is odd, suppose $M \cong {}^2G_2(3^a)$. By [2, Proposition 3.14] two semisimple elements of ${}^2G_2(3^a)$ which have the same trace are conjugate. Now $x_7 y_7$ has trace 0 and, for $p = 3$, also $[x_7, y_7]$ has trace 0. So $[x_7, y_7]^7$ must be a 3-element and so has trace 1. However this gives $r(r^2 - 1) = 0$ and so $r \in \mathbb{F}_3$, a contradiction with the assumption $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_3[r]$. Thus H is not contained in any subgroup of Table 1, except possibly in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(q)$. We conclude that either $H = G_2(q)$ or $H \leq M'$ with $M \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2(q)$. Assume that the latter case holds. By the classification of the Hurwitz subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$ [13] we have $H \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$ with $q = p$ if $p \equiv 0, \pm 1 \pmod{7}$, $q = p^3$ if $p \equiv \pm 2, \pm 3 \pmod{7}$.

In $G_2(q)$ there is just one conjugacy class of maximal subgroups isomorphic to $\mathrm{PGL}_2(q)$. Thus, by the information given before the statement, there are at most 3 non-conjugate Hurwitz triples in $G_2(q)$ which can generate $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$. By Lemma 4.9, different values of r give rise to non conjugate triples. So we have to exclude at most 3 values of r to avoid $H \leq \mathrm{PGL}_2(q)$. Clearly we have to exclude at most other 2 values of r for the absolute irreducibility. We also note that, if $H \leq \mathrm{PGL}_2(q)$, then $q \neq 17$ since $17 \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$. Our last claim now follows from the inequalities: $p \geq 7 > 2 + 3$ if $q = p \equiv 0, \pm 1 \pmod{7}$, and $p^3 - p > 2 + 3$ if $q = p^3$. $\square$ $\square$

For sake of completeness, we provide Hurwitz generators also for the Janko group J_1 . Consider the following matrices $x_{J_1}, y_{J_1} \in \mathrm{SL}_7(11)$ (Family (I) of [20], with $r_3 = 2$ and $r_4 = 4$):

$$x_{J_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y_{J_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Again, by a Magma calculation, the group $H = \langle x_{J_1}, y_{J_1} \rangle$ is isomorphic to J_1 .

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aschbacher, Chevalley Groups of Type G_2 as the Group of a Trilinear Form, *J. Algebra* **109**, 193–259 (1987).
- [2] H. Bäärnhielm, Recognising the small Ree groups in their natural representations, *J. Algebra* **416** (2014), 139–166.
- [3] J.N. Bray, D.F. Holt and C.M. Roney-Dougal, *The maximal subgroups of the low-dimensional finite classical groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 407. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [4] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker and R.A. Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups. Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups*, Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985.
- [5] B.N. Cooperstein, Maximal Subgroups of $G_2(2^n)$, *J. Algebra* **70**, 23–36 (1981).
- [6] J. Dieudonné, *Sur les groupes classiques*, Actualités scientifiques et industrielles 1040, Herman, Paris, 1948.
- [7] L. Di Martino, M.C. Tamburini and A. Zalesskii, On Hurwitz groups of low rank, *Comm. Algebra* **28** (2000) no. 11, 5383–5404.
- [8] L. Finkelstein and A. Rudvalis, Maximal subgroups of the Hall-Janko-Wales group, *J. Algebra* **24** (1973), 486–493.
- [9] N. Jacobson, *Basic algebra I*, W.H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, Calif., 1974.
- [10] P.B. Kleidman, The maximal subgroups of the Chevalley groups $G_2(q)$ with q odd, the Ree groups ${}^2G_2(q)$, and their automorphism groups, *J. Algebra* **117** (1988), no. 1, 30–71.
- [11] P. Kleidman and M. Liebeck, *The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 129. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [12] S. Lang, *Linear algebra. Third edition*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [13] A.M. Macbeath, Generators of the linear fractional groups, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **12** (1969), 14–32.
- [14] G. Malle, Hurwitz groups and $G_2(q)$, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **33** (1990), no. 3, 349–357.
- [15] C. Marion, On triangle generation of finite groups of Lie type, *J. Group Theory*, **13** (2010), 619–648.
- [16] C.-H. Sah, Groups related to compact Riemann surfaces, *Acta Math.* **123** (1969) 13–42.
- [17] L.L. Scott, Matrices and cohomology, *Ann. Math.* **105** (1977), 473–492.
- [18] M.C. Tamburini and M. Vsemirnov, Hurwitz groups and Hurwitz generation. *Handbook of algebra*. Vol. 4, 385–426, Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [19] M.C. Tamburini and M. Vsemirnov, Irreducible $(2, 3, 7)$ -subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_n(F)$, $n \leq 7$, *J. Algebra* **300** (2006), 339–362.
- [20] M.C. Tamburini and M. Vsemirnov, Irreducible $(2, 3, 7)$ -subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_n(F)$, $n \leq 7$, II., *J. Algebra* **321** (2009), no. 8, 2119–2138.
- [21] M.C. Tamburini and A. Zalesskii, Classical groups in dimension 5 which are Hurwitz, *Proceedings of the Gainesville Conference on Finite Groups, 2003*, Editors: C.Y. Ho, P. Sin, P.H. Tiep, A. Turull, Walter de Gruyter, (2004), 363–371.
- [22] M.C. Tamburini Bellani and M. Vsemirnov, Hurwitz generation of $\mathrm{PSp}_6(q)$, *Comm. Algebra* **43** (2015), no. 10, 4159–4169.
- [23] K.B. Tchakerian, An explicit $(2, 3, 7)$ -generation of the simple Ree groups ${}^2G_2(3^n)$, *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.* **58** (2005), no. 7, 749–752.
- [24] R. Vincent and A.E. Zalesskii, Non-Hurwitz classical groups, *LMS J. Comp. Math.* **10** (2007), 21–82.
- [25] M. Vsemirnov and M.C. Tamburini, Irreducible $(2, 3, 7)$ -subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_n(F)$, $n \leq 7$, III (in preparation).

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ CATTOLICA DEL SACRO CUORE, VIA
MUSEI 41, I-25121 BRESCIA, ITALY

E-mail address: marcoantonio.pellegrini@unicatt.it

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ CATTOLICA DEL SACRO CUORE, VIA
MUSEI 41, I-25121 BRESCIA, ITALY

E-mail address: mariaclara.tamburini@unicatt.it